

*****JULY 10, 2006*****

The Town Council of the Town of Signal Mountain held its regular monthly meeting on Monday, July 10, 2006, at 7 p.m. in the Town Hall. Those present were:

Mayor William O. Leonard, III
 Vice-Mayor Stephen Ruffin
 Councilmember Robert V. Linehart, Jr.
 Councilmember Lizetta Paturalski
 Councilmember Robert E. White, II

Also present were: Town Manager Diana Campbell
 Town Attorney Phillip Noblett
 Acting Town Recorder Sherry Morrison
 See attached list for others present

Mayor Leonard called the meeting to order and led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag. Mayor Leonard asked Vice-Mayor Ruffin to offer the prayer. Roll call found all Councilmembers present.

The first set of minutes to be approved was for a special work session on June 12, 2006, at 6:15 p.m. Vice-Mayor Ruffin had an addition. He came in at 6:35 p.m. instead of 6:15 p.m. because he worked in Ooltewah and had to drive from there. The Mayor said he was the only one not in attendance because he was out of Town. Vice-Mayor moved that the minutes be approved as corrected. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Linehart and passed with Mayor Leonard abstaining, since he was not present at the meeting.

Vice-Mayor Ruffin moved that the minutes of the regular monthly meeting on June 12, 2006, at 7 p.m. be approved. Mayor Leonard was out of Town and Vice-Mayor Ruffin presided over that meeting. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Linehart and passed with Mayor Leonard abstaining, since he was not present at the meeting.

Councilmember Linehart moved that the minutes of the June 20, 2006, 5:30 p.m. Special Joint Work Session with the Town Council and Planning Commission at the Development Resource Center be approved. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Paturalski and passed with Vice-Mayor Ruffin abstaining since he was not at the meeting.

Councilmember Paturalski moved that the minutes of the June 26, 2006, 5:30 p.m., Special Work Session, regarding a bond provider for financing the high school be approved. The minutes indicated that Vice-Mayor Ruffin was present which he was not. Councilmember Linehart seconded the motion with the correction deleting Vice-Mayor

Ruffian's name from those present, and it passed with Vice-Mayor Ruffin abstaining since he was not at the meeting.

Mayor Leonard asked that the minutes show that Vice-Mayor Ruffin was not in attendance at the June 26, 2006, 7 p.m., Special Called Meeting/Public Hearing. Councilmember Linehart moved that the minutes be approved with the one correction mentioned above. The motion was seconded by Councilmember White and passed with Vice-Mayor Ruffin abstaining since he was not at the meeting.

Mayor Leonard mentioned the Town's most important work to the community was the budget. He said the Town had sound physical management. He said fortunately and wisely the Town had a Council/Town Manager form of government. Diana Campbell was the Town Manager and handled the day-to-day activities. He said the Council had the responsibility of passing a sound and balanced budget each year. He said there would not be a tax increase this year to meet the budget the Town would continue to provide same services. He said Diana Campbell was a CPA and had strong background.

The first resolution before the Council was "A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TOWN OF SIGNAL MOUNTAIN, TENNESSEE, TO PARTICIPATE IN THE TML RISK MANAGEMENT POOL "SAFETY PARTNERS" LOSS CONTROL MATCHING GRANT PROGRAM WHICH SHALL BE AWARDED IN OCTOBER OF 2006". Mr. Noblett said the Town had participated in this for the last two years. It required the Town to put up a certain amount of money for the "Safety Partners" loss control program, and the TML Pool would meet the funds and provide matching funds. Ms. Campbell said the Town needed to spend \$4,000 on safety equipment—bulletproof vests, etc.—and TML would reimburse the Town \$2,000. Ms. Campbell said everything purchased for the grant was already in the budget. Vice-Mayor Ruffin moved that the resolution be passed. The motion was seconded by Councilmember White and passed unanimously.

The second resolution before the Council was "A RESOLUTION APPOINTING TOWN BUILDING INSPECTOR CHARLES GEARHISER, JR., AS THE TOWN OF SIGNAL MOUNTAIN'S REPRESENTATIVE ON THE HAMILTON COUNTY WATER AND WASTEWATER TREATMENT AUTHORITY BOARD". Mr. Noblett stated the Town had one representative on the WWTA by State statute. Mr. Gearhiser, the Town's Building Inspector, will be replacing Councilmember Linehart on that Board. Mayor Leonard thanked Councilmember Linehart for stepping in for Bill Wagner, retired Building Inspector, and filled. Councilmember Linehart said he stepped in prior to Bill Wagner being the Town's representative. Hershel Dick was our WWTA representative. He said he had been able to attend only five of eight meetings. Councilmember Linehart moved that the resolution be passed. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Paturalski and passed unanimously.

The third resolution before the Council was "A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TOWN MANAGER AND/OR MAYOR TO ENTER INTO AND RENEW A CONTRACT FOR MEDICAL INSURANCE FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE TOWN OF

SIGNAL MOUNTAIN WITH BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD OF TENNESSEE FOR 2006-2007 WHICH IS ATTACHED HERETO WITH PREVIOUS COVERAGE REMAINING THE SAME AND WITH AN INCREASE IN PREMIUMS TO \$289.02 PER MONTH FOR SINGLE COVERAGE AND \$747.70 PER MONTH FOR FAMILY COVERAGE". Ms. Campbell explained this was the same coverage the Town had for several years. She stated the last couple of years there had been rate decrease and this was a 20 percent increase over last year. Councilmember Linehart moved that the resolution be passed. The motion was seconded by Councilmember White and passed unanimously.

The fourth resolution before the Council was "A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TOWN MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A RENEWAL CONTRACT WITH GE INSURANCE COMPANY FOR DENTAL INSURANCE COVERAGE FOR TOWN OF SIGNAL MOUNTAIN EMPLOYEES AT THE RATE OF \$16.87 PER MONTH FOR INDIVIDUAL EMPLOYEES AND \$52.50 PER MONTH FOR EMPLOYEES AND THEIR FAMILIES EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2006, THROUGH JUNE 30, 2007". Ms. Campbell said this was the same insurance coverage at the same rate as last year. Vice-Mayor Ruffin moved that the resolution be passed. The motion was seconded by Councilmember White and passed unanimously.

The final resolution to be brought before the Council was "A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TOWN MANAGER TO PURCHASE A SECOND 2006 CHEVROLET IMPALA, POLICE CAR, TENNESSEE STATE BID NUMBER 4033158, FROM WILSON COUNTY MOTOR COMPANY, IN THE AMOUNT OF TWENTY THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED FORTY-ONE AND 00/100 (\$20,441.00) DOLLARS TO BE USED BY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR THE TOWN OF SIGNAL MOUNTAIN". Chief Veal said this was a budgeted item for replacement of vehicle. Councilmember Linehart moved that the resolution be passed. The motion was seconded by Vice-Mayor Ruffin and passed unanimously.

Mayor Leonard said there was another resolution, not on the agenda, he wanted to bring to the citizens' attention (#R2006-23, previously approved) and asked Ms. Morrison to read it—"A RESOLUTION COMMENDING THE MANY YEARS OF VALUABLE SERVICE AND DEDICATION AS A PUBLIC SERVANT BY CONNIE PIERCE AS TOWN LIBRARIAN". He said he wanted it noted that Connie, after fifteen years of service, had taken a position with Hamilton County Board of Education at Falling Water, which was about two miles from her house. He said Connie had served the community really well.

The Mayor ended the regular meeting and entered into a Public Hearing regarding Shackleford Ridge Overlay Zone Amendments. Mr. Noblett said as of July 6, there had been requests for the Planning Commission to give additional guidance for what should be looked at this evening. On July 6, 2006, a resolution was passed by the Planning Commission authorizing the Town Attorney to amend the zoning ordinance regarding the Shackleford Ridge Overlay Zone and recommended the Signal Mountain Town Council approve certain changes. He said that resolution was the result of many months of involvement with many opportunities for the public to present their vision and their view

to the Town and have an opportunity to make amendments to a document that had been in excess of 28 pages. He said, to make it simpler, he had broken it down section by section so the Council had the opportunity to vote any changes that they chose to establish to the ordinance sections. He then explained what each section contained. He recommended a first reading of the ordinances at this meeting. The Mayor said it was divided into sections for the Council to deliberate on each one and decide individually, section wise, to accept, modify or reject any one of the sections. Mr. Noblett stated the meeting was a publicly noticed hearing, which had been in the paper since June 24, 2006; therefore, the fifteen (15) day notice had occurred. Vice-Mayor noted the ordinance had gone from one ordinance to several ordinances. Mr. Noblett said all zoning ordinance amendments had been published in the paper on June 24, 2006 and amendments were to be considered. He said they were the same ordinance just broken down into six (6) provisions. Mayor Leonard noted there had been one reading on the original ordinance but that reading had been set aside which would cause the first reading on the revised ordinance to occur at this meeting. Mr. Noblett said a number of the sections mirrored what was in the current ordinance. Mayor Leonard thanked Dan Saieed and the other members of the Planning Commission for all the hours they had spent on this ordinance. He addressed Mr. Mike Howard of WWTA, who was in attendance, and thanked him for his work over the months. He thanked Mr. Hoss of WWTA for going to the Thursday meeting (June 20), and Ms. Karen Rennick, RPA, for her work in guiding the Town. Mayor Leonard then asked for comments from the audience.

Dr. Jim Bardoner said it was not a question of whether or not the Town would develop the area. He said the question was how was the Town going to be best steward of the development of the property. He said there were two questions—one was what size of pipe was going to be laid in the ground. He stated if it was 4-inch, as originally proposed, then it was a covered expense. He said that would go to the high school and maybe a few outlying residential areas; but, it would not allow for the master development that had been set forth in the overlay plan. Therefore, he said, the decision was whether a 4-inch, 8-inch or 12-inch pipe was wanted in the ground. He said once that was decided the limits would be set as to what could and could not be done as far as development in the area. He said if there were no sewer there would be septic. He said the second thing to be decided would be who was going to pay for it. He said he believed property owners had the right to develop their own property, but said the Town of Signal Mountain should not guarantee it. He said according to what the WWTA had stated they would come in and guarantee the pipe within ten years if the Town guaranteed 751 hookups on to the pipe and then the Town was held responsible for \$500 for each number below that number that it fell short. He asked if the Town should pay for the development of personal property. He said his personal preference was no. He said he thought individual property owners should have the freedom to develop their property, but the Town or any other municipality should not guarantee it. He said that was largess. The other two questions he had were according to what he understood the overlay plan said a single chassis home, meaning a mobile home, was not permitted. He said if he were astute enough he would wonder if a double-wide qualified as a single chassis or two chassis thereby eliminating the covenant the Town had already put restrictions on mobile homes. His last question was, the way it was worded, if the Town had opened itself up

to the possibility of duplexes. The Mayor replied to Dr. Bardoner that the Town would absolutely not commit to make up any differences in the 751 hookups. The Mayor stated that was an estimate-only. The Town would not be involved in that at all. Dr. Bardoner asked who would guarantee that, if it fell short. The Mayor said there would not be a guarantee. Councilmember Linehart said there was no contract, no guarantee. The Mayor said WWTa had asked, early on, if the Town would guarantee and the Town said a very definite no. Dr. Bardoner said that Connor Creek was on the State endangered list because of acid runoff from the previous strip mine. He asked what were the plans as far as development in that area in order to guarantee that would be brought up to standard to pass the State requirements. Mr. Noblett said the State would have to control that if it was under the State's endangered list.

Ms. Mary Seay, River Point Road, stated the Mayor had said the citizens had been heard as a community, but said she did not understand why the Chairman of the Planning Commission and the Town Attorney met with developers behind closed doors. She said she was sure it was not against the Sunshine Law because our attorney would not allow that to happen, but it made the community feel that things were being done behind their backs. She said the press and the community were not invited. She said then when the citizens had a chance to talk before the Planning Commission, like last Thursday nights meeting, she felt like Mr. Saieed rushed people they were making comments. She said she felt like the people did not have ownership of their own town.

Ms. Jean Anderson asked a question about the Thursday Planning Commission meeting. She said after attending that meeting she was absolutely distraught and concerned about the process in which the Town went about electing people to that Commission. She asked how people get put on the Planning Commission; who determines who was put on there. The Mayor said originally the Mayor appointed the members of the Planning Commission, which had been in existence since about 1991. Ms. Lou Oliphant explained that citizens presented themselves for membership and the Council considered recommendations from the Chairman of the Planning Commission. The Mayor said they were appointed positions, not elected. Councilmember Linehart said the terms are three years and they rotate. He said there were nine people total on the Commission. He said two of the nine people were Councilmembers, the Mayor and whichever Councilmember the Mayor appointed. He said that left seven positions for citizens who were nominated, typically recommended, by the Chairman and then the Council voted on those nominees. Ms. Anderson said having gone to that meeting, it became crystal clear that was an extremely vital, important role. She said it was imperative when dealing with re-zoning issues like the Town had been dealing with. She asked if the Mayor was present at last Thursday's meeting and he said he was. She said she had tremendous respect for anyone who volunteered to do that kind of work, but her problem was...with the crucial decisions being made it was important to have people in those positions who understood the language that was being brought forth, the resolutions that were being discussed. She said anyone present at the July 6th meeting had to have been absolutely appalled at the lack of understanding and knowledge of the Commission members. She said she was not faulting them as individuals; she was faulting the method by which the individuals were chosen to represent this community. She said, obviously, they did not frequently

understand what was being presented, yet the meeting was hurriedly rushed through, the votes were rapidly taken, and one gentleman of the Commission very bravely spoke at the end and said he could not support voting at that time and wanted to refer it to the Town Council to delay the vote because, obviously, things had not been investigated thoroughly enough. She said so many issues were brought up regarding the WWTA, so many questions that could not be answered - significant, very important questions about the impact on the community as well as the people off the mountain. She said she did not think the issues were being considered seriously enough.

Mayor Leonard responded by saying he believed everyone was taking this very seriously. He said no one was being casual about any of this. Councilmember White said all committees and commissions were vital to our community and the Town needed people to get involved. He said a lot of times there were not enough people to do the volunteer work. He asked Mr. Noblett to talk about how much education these people go through every year and over the three-year term. Mr. Noblett said these people had to give up their own time to go through education to become members of the Planning Commission. He said that was a requirement under the State law. He said they had to attend many meetings that the general public did not have to attend. He said they have to do this for a three-year term and sit through meetings that lasted for several hours. Mr. Noblett said the concern he had at the Thursday night meeting and the reason he did not make a reference to Mr. Dillender's comment was there was no second given during the meeting for that matter to be held up at that point in time. He said because of the lack of a second, under Roberts Rules of Order, that motion died. The Mayor said the qualifications of the Planning Commission were Mr. Saieed had been on the Planning Commission for 15 years, Ms. Oliphant- 25 years, Mr. Dillender- 15 years, Mr. Rankin. He said Don Moon was the only new member. He said he had been on the Commission by virtue of the fact that he was Mayor and his appointee, Lizetta Paturalski, 1-1/2 years. Ms. Anderson said she agreed that was a great service to be available that long, but said her comment was n was if people had devoted that many years, doing the same type of work, one would think they would be better informed.

Mr. Charlie Rowland, Crown Point Road West, asked if Mr. Gearhiser, the new appointee to WWTA, would have a big vote about the size of the sewer line. Councilmember Linehart said there were actually 13 votes, since one was being added from Lookout Mountain. Mr. Rowland said the Town might not be getting representation from elected officials for that. Councilmember Linehart said that was correct. He said he did not think the Town had ever had an elected official other than the eight months he had served. Hershel Dick, former Town Manager, served on it for years until the Town sold the sewer system to WWTA. He said when Hershel Dick retired Bill Wagner sat. Mr. Rowland said it was a critical issue and wanted to know how Mr. Gearhiser would know how to vote on that issue of 4 inch, 8 inch or 12-inch pipe. The Mayor said the Town wants what will make a sanitary area there.

Mr. Joe Dumas, James Blvd., asked the Council not to go forward with any zoning changes at this time. He said he was in favor of property owner rights. However, he said he did not think developers should be subsidized by citizens. He said unfortunately

that was what he saw happening. He said he was seeing one of the main reasons behind the high school, which was to get a sewer line out there to the developers so they could build more homes in Shackleford Ridge. He said the whole zoning issue was being driven by the WWTA. He said WWTA had said they would not build this sewer line unless the Town changed the rules to allow higher density development than is allowed in the rest of Signal Mountain. He said he did not think the Town should change the rules for the benefit of WWTA and developers. He said the rules need to be same for all areas of Signal Mountain.

Mr. David Evans, 1179 James Blvd., said he thought everyone supported the high school with the hope that it would promote the quality of life enjoyed here. He said everyone in Town knew how much sewers were needed, but neither the high school nor the sewer should be the cause of the ruination of the Town. He respectfully suggested that the Town not plan to authorize the development. If it occurred, would, in fact, destroy the quality of life the residents had on Signal Mountain. He said he thought we should be talking to Walden and county officials on how best to preserve the quality of life. He said he found it embarrassing that the amendments being voted on tonight were not publicly available. He said he went to the Town website and could not find them. He then asked Councilmember Paturalski if she were embarrassed that the Planning Commission did not obtain any information on the impact of this growth plan upon the Mountaintop Education nor did they bother to talk to anyone in a position to assess that. Councilmember Paturalski said she was not embarrassed. He asked her if that was because she put the interest of the developers ahead of the protection of the national schools of excellence developed on the mountain. She said she absolutely had not put the interest of developers ahead of the Town. Councilmember Linehart said that was not a court of law where you cross-examine folks. Mr. Evans asked if there were any studies regarding the impact on traffic of this development. Councilmember Paturalski said the traffic studies were underway. Councilmember Linehart said in the 1960's when his neighborhood was built there was not a central plan that showed what the impact of development would be on education and traffic, etc. Mr. Evans said there was no information on traffic or education. Councilmember Paturalski said the Town had information on education and a traffic study was underway. Councilmember Paturalski said we have a land use plan that was being followed along with the zoning. Mr. Evans asked why was this called when it was originally passed on first reading an open space plan and a low-density plan when about 4500 units, 6000 units, 8500 units didn't they find that was a little disingenuous. Councilmember Paturalski said in comparison to the current zoning in the Town, not at all. She said we had a high-density zoning, and there were 11 different zoning ordinances currently in this Town—low-density, medium density, and high-density all under residential. She said not so under the Shackleford. She said right now, now under current zoning, we had a high-density residential that allows for seven units per acre. She said that was not allowed in this new zone. Mr. Evans said in the ordinance adopted on first reading it went from 3 to 4-1/2 to 6 to 8-1/2 and that was the only ordinance currently available. Mr. Noblett asked Mr. Evans to look at the ordinance. Mr. Noblett said the ordinance said 4, 5-1/2 and 7 and that was only if there was a change in the land use plan that would allow this area to have a moderate- or high-density zone. The current land use plan for this area only allows

Residential Estate Zone which is the lowest density residential available. He said he would appreciate Mr. Evans acknowledging that was what was in the ordinance. Mr. Evans said he would say what was in the ordinance was authorization to zone tracts of land for 4 units to 5-1/2 to 7 and he thought the high-density plan was 8-1/2 units per acre. Mr. Evans said he found it incredibly embarrassing that the Town was adopting a plan for growth and not only the existing area that had been designated as the Overlay Zone, we have another square mile virtually undeveloped land to be zoned that was currently going to be subject to Residential Estate. He said problems change and so do solutions.

Mr. Robert Mastin, Shoal Creek Falls, had two questions. He said now the changes in zoning were limited to the Overlay Zone, the Town was not planning on changing anything else in the Town to match what happened here. He said if a half-acre was the current rule, a half-acre stays everywhere but Shackelford Ridge. Mr. Noblett said everywhere except where sewer lines were available within the Overlay Zone. Mr. Mastin said he lived on Shoal Creek Falls and he was very worried about what was going to happen at the Middle School that is was on sewer. Mr. Noblett said it was not part of the Overlay Zone. Mr. Mastin asked what the zoning of that would be—still a half-acre. Mr. Noblett said the same as it was now—unless changes occur. Mr. Mastin said there was a lot of truth, fantasy, reality, etc. basically it would be about four-tenths of an acre out there—2-1/2 homes per acre. Mr. Noblett said what they were looking at was about 2-1/2 units per acre at the lowest end. He said there was a potential of having up to four units per acre in the Open Space Design. He said the provisions in the original ordinance would allow up to four units per acre and, now with the lot size at 10,900 square feet that many would not fit in that area of the land size—probably about 2-1/2 units per acre. Mr. Mastin said about four tenths of an acre per home. Mr. Noblett said it was 14,520 square feet in there and that is roughly one-third of an acre. Councilmember Linehart said it was exactly one-third acre, and he said under the plan not yet read, the LDR was one-third acre. Councilmember Linehart said the Open Space provision as recommended by the Planning Commission on Thursday night changed the minimum lot size from 7,500 square feet to 10,900 square feet which was exactly a quarter of an acre. He said there was 25 percent for open space that is 25 percent of the lot would be required to be open or green excluding roads. He said typically in a development 10 percent of the land would be used for roads. He noted that was 35 percent of the land that was unusable for development of houses. He said 65 percent of an acre and an acre was 43,560 feet, would be approximately 28,000 square feet. He further explained that if you divided 28,000 by the 10,900, you would have about 2.6 units per acre. He said the way he understood, using math, you could not have more than 2.6 units per acre and that was essentially the same rules the Town had for years. He said he thought the Planning Commission had done a great job Thursday night recommending that increase. He said it was not 4 units as he read in the paper, it was not 1,500 acres, it was 744 acres with 2.6 unites per acre and that was if every scrap of land was developed. He said a lot of that land was not developable. He said he thought the density was pretty moderate. Mr. Mastin said in reality it was about 2,000 homes. Mr. Noblett said you could not do that on 744 acres. Councilmember Linehart said there would be 1,934 homes if every scrap of land was

built on. Mr. Mastin said that was less than 4,000 new people. Councilmember Linehart said we could not centrally plan how many people would move into each house.

Ms. Mary Hudson, 1150 James Blvd., commented about attendance at meetings. She said she was one who was guilty of only attending meetings when there was an issue that really concerned her. She said she would come to more meetings if the sign at the top of the mountain were more visible. She said it was partially hidden. She said if there could be a banner sign to remind people of the meetings and also of the Planning Commission meetings. She said she could not find the agenda for this meeting on the website and could not find it at Pruetts. She said someone found the agenda at the bank and told her what was on it. She said someone mentioned that 751 hookups was what was needed. She said she recalled the lady from the Regional Planning Agency saying that 751 hookups would be possible with the current zoning. She asked why couldn't the zoning be left as it was until more studies were done. She said regardless of whether we keep the same zoning for the whole mountain or had different zoning, we did need to know at what point did we need to stop. She said, as she understood it, last Thursday the amendments that were being read at this meeting were voted on and passed on to the Town Council. She said the Code reads there should be a 15-day notice. She said it looked to her that the 15 days should have been from July 6 and not June 24. Mr. Noblett explained to her. She asked if they did decide to vote tonight on the first reading that the second reading could be held at a special meeting with 24 hours' notice. Mr. Noblett said he did not think the Council was trying to do something in a hurry. Mayor Leonard said the second reading would be in August at the regular council meeting. Councilmember Linehart said early in 2005 the Mayor told the Council he did not want to set a precedent of voting at workshops. He said the Council agreed; and since that time they had not. He said they had voted on only three things at a meeting other than the regular second Monday meeting which were last year's budget and this year's budget and then on Friday before Memorial Day they voted to table this ordinance and carry it back to the Planning Commission in response to citizens' concerns. He said the Council was not in a hurry. He said since September or October there had been article after article in the news. He said the Council was not ramming it through. He said it had been discussed for hours and hours. He said Mr. Saieed presented a tally of the hours spent on it. He said if the Council voted on it at this meeting they would he said they were not going to do some vote in 15 days or in the night to push it through.

Ms. Connie Jones, N. Palisades, apologized that she had not been present at the planning meetings during the past year regarding this new ordinance. She said the citizens had made a mistake and they wanted the Council to start again. They wanted to be involved. She said this was their Town, they had elected the Council to represent them, and the Council had given the citizens hundreds of hours of their time and she appreciated it. She said obviously the citizens were not happy with what had been done. She said if they could consider the citizens' wishes. She said the Council was not representing them. She said heretofore the Town Fathers had been very conservative and had voted for things that would help the citizens. She asked if the Council would start again and let everyone be involved.

A citizen said it sounded like there was being a compromise being made. She wondered who the compromise was with. She asked who was the other party being compromising what the citizens' wishes were with. Councilmember Linehart said he was not afraid of moderate growth and it was not necessarily a bad thing. He said he voted against the ordinance as it was because he thought it offered little control. He said they went back and put something together that was better than the previous one. He said he thought to a certain extent we were in a no growth situation. He said we had a high school to be built here and that was a demand. He said he believed there would be growth on the mountain and that he would be somewhat significant regardless of what we do. He said growth was going to happen out in the county with fairly limited restrictions, he said he did not think it was unlikely that sewers would go out there, also, which meant they could build on a one-sixth acre lot. He said he was trying to protect the Town of Signal Mountain with what we had and create a situation where we could develop in a slow, controlled, moderate manner completely consistent with the history in which our Town is developed which was on minimum one-third acre lots and he thought we had to have a minimum base and then again sewers were very important for the future of this mountain over the next 50 to 100 years to have a sewer up here. The citizen agreed with Councilmember Linehart regarding the sewer but questioned if the Town was compromising with the developers. Councilmember Linehart said we were trying to create a situation where there was some moderate development within the Town so that we do not create an economic situation where our market forces were closed down in the Town of Signal Mountain because we had such strict growth rules and people were forced to develop and run over to the Corral Road area and all the county areas. He said then the Town would incur all the same traffic, all the same issues, overcrowding of our schools—the kids in the county would be going to the same schools. He said when Hidden Brook was being built everything was not a big bowl of cherries. He said he did not think this was the first time there had been concern over development. He said he had been told by several people who had lived on the mountain for a very long time that the people of the mountain were very angry when they built Carriage Hill.

Mr. H. C. Bright said regarding the Overlay Zone and the potential developments in that zone, are they upstream from the sewer line terminal at the high school or downstream. He said if the sewer comes to the high school would the sewer have to extend passed the high school to reach the Overlay Zone areas or would it have already passed the Overlay Zone areas. Mayor Leonard said yes. Mr. Bright said more sewer would have to be layed passed the high school to reach the potential development areas. Councilmember Linehart said he was pretty sure it was in the middle. He said it would probably be an agreement between the WWTA and the developers. Mayor Leonard asked Mike Howard of WWTA to answer the question. Mr. Howard said both—upstream and downstream. He said about one-third was passed the school and two-thirds before the school. Mr. Bright's second question was if there was no change to the zoning requirements, how many houses could currently be built in the Overlay Zone. Councilmember Linehart said he could not tell him, but 744 acres divided by 2 and taking out topography and percentages for roads, he said they were talking about around 700 homes. Councilmember Linehart said there was a question of there being a market.

Mr. Bright's third question was if the zoning were changed, how many houses could then be built in the Overlay Zone area. He what was the difference between a potential 700 houses and what was the other number of houses. Mr. Bright said 1900 was mentioned earlier. Mr. Noblett said it would depend on the land use plan, and that land use plan had not been developed because we did not know what types of zones would occur. Mr. Noblett said we knew the largest number that could be built, at this point in time, was some where around 2-1/2 per acre. Mr. Bright asked what the number of houses was and Councilmember Linehart said, hypothetically, 1934. Mr. Bright said 1900 houses would translate into 4,000 or 5,000 people. He said we only had 7,000 people in Signal Mountain now. He said we were talking about half again the number of people here and that was what people were concerned about. He said the people did not know how all this growth was going to be handled. He said this was not moderate growth and fifty per cent was not moderate. He said citizens were asking to understand how would the consequences of the growth be dealt with.

Mr. Greg Goodgame, Glamis Circle, wanted to thank the members of the Planning Commission and Town Council for reconsidering this. He said he had questioned the density and he thought they had done a good job solving the problem. He said there would be a lot of change coming in to the Town and he thought it was a great thing. He said the people needed to vote for people who cared about the Town and they needed to be involved. He said the subdivisions would have to go before the Planning Commission. He asked people to stay involved and make sure the Planning Commission knew what the citizens wanted and be sure the Board of Education knew what the citizens wanted.

Annette Allen asked Mr. Noblett about the land use plan to be updated. She asked about the timing of that process by which that was done. Mr. Noblett said the Regional Planning Agency was providing the Town some funding to do these things. He said they were helping the Town to find the appropriate uses, etc. He said if the use was not subject to sewers, we would not be having this type discussion. He said you had to have the right tools and appropriate uses. Ms. Allen said it was her understanding that the land use plan was to be used as a guide in order to create further ordinances, not dictate but guide in growth. Ms. Allen said she thought this was the time to update the land use plan. She asked what would the Town lose by doing the study now. Councilmember Linehart said he was satisfied with the zoning ordinance. Mayor Leonard said the RPA had been involved with the Town since October. He said the land use plan was worked on in 1997 and he said the sewer line, which would cause the Town to reflect on the current ordinances in 1991 to adopt a septic circumstance, which was not pertinent on Shackleford. He said the triggering event behind all this had been the high school. He said the WWTA would not start construction until next spring. They need to know by the end of the year what would be done to work out the financial issues. Councilmember Linehart said he was not a big proponent of central planning. He thought the way the Town had developed historically was based on a market economy and it had been one-third minimum lot size. Ms. Allen said municipalities all over the country were looking at new ideas. Councilmember Linehart said he thought the ordinance was fair.

Dean Holland, Timberlinks Drive, said everyone appreciated these meetings. She said she had not heard much about the Planning Commission until recently. She said she appreciated them. She asked if the Planning Commission had any input into the referendum in 2004. Mayor Leonard said the Planning Commission was not engaged as a body. She said the senior citizens felt like they had been overlooked in the process and the senior citizens thought they should not have been taxed for the high school.

The Mayor ended the Public Hearing. He invited the Council if they would like to make a comment, statement, observation, etc. Vice-Mayor Ruffin said he made a statement in 2000 and that statement was he ran basically on the premise that he would not change the zoning ordinance or rezone residential property to commercial. He said this was a very involved Town, sometimes only on major issues. He said most of the time the Town ran quite well and was a great place to live. He said in 2004 these issues were not at the forefront because at that time the Town knew it would get a high school. He said with things coming as they had he said he believed the Town had been asked repeatedly for a referendum on this. He thought the referendum should have been in November when Councilmembers were elected and then that Council would have an opportunity to consider this. Councilmembers Linehart and Paturalski had no comments. Councilmember White thanked Greg Goodgame, he said Greg was at every meeting, he knew what was going on, and he appreciated his comments. He challenged the citizens to come to more of the Council meetings. He thanked the Planning Commission for their great work and time served. He said the issue was about growth or no growth. He said the document was about moderate growth. He said if the Town went the no growth route, residents thought that last tax increase was a biggie, he said the Town's costs go up 12 to 14 percent every two years. He said the Town had basically no growth. He said the next Council had some real issues—costs go up, no revenue growth. He said the moderate growth was to financially support our Town. He said if you had no growth, what would you cut—fire, police, recreation, the library. He said the money would have to come from somewhere. He said many people want growth to be like Old Towne. He said in 1938 the minimum lot size was .28 of an acre and that was how our town was founded. He said it stayed that way for forty-one (41) years. He said then in 1979 it went to one-third of an acre. He said in 1992 it went to one-half an acre because of septic issues. He said he did not want to see Shackleford Ridge on septic. He said he thought there would probably be about 700 or 800 homes. He said he believed this document helped us grow smartly, be financially stable for years to come, and creates a situation that would develop like Old Towne.

Mayor Leonard said a lot of time had been spent on this; we were all citizens of the community. He said he had seen ebb and flow, certain things worked, certain things had not worked. He said we had not had that single piece of privately-owned land all of a sudden have certain restrictions removed from the landowners so they could develop it in a modern, very satisfactory, very pleasing and environmentally friendly way. He said the Town had limited jurisdiction on Highway 127, some input but not much control. He had talked to TDOT requesting an audience saying we had to make sure we address the roads on this plateau. He said the Town was trying to join together with Walden and the County regarding the traffic on the mountain. He said the sewer system going down

Timberlinks to the high school would be better with a bigger pipe and continuing on out into Walden and the County some time. He said this was a very complex issue. He said the Council had tried to examine a lot of issues and there were excellent suggestions to do more studying. He said there came a time for action. He read a paragraph from an e-mail he had received from an individual. He thanked all for their comments and suggestions. He asked the Council if they would like to vote on the ordinances on. Councilmember White stated he wanted to vote. Councilmember Linehart stated he wanted to vote. Councilmember Ruffin stated he did not want to vote. Councilmember Paturalski stated she did want to vote, as did Mayor Leonard. Vice-Mayor Ruffin asked for a roll call vote.

The first ordinance to be presented on first reading was "AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE SIGNAL MOUNTAIN ZONING ORDINANCE TO ADD A NEW SECTION 617 TO PROVIDE BOUNDARY GUIDELINES WITHIN THE SHACKLEFORD RIDGE OVERLAY ZONE". Mr. Noblett explained the ordinance. Councilmember White moved that the ordinance be passed. Councilmember Linehart seconded the motion and it passed with Councilmember White, Paturalski, Linehart and Mayor Leonard voting yes, and Vice-Mayor Ruffin voting no.

The second ordinance presented on first reading was "AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE SIGNAL MOUNTAIN ZONING ORDINANCE TO INCLUDE REVISIONS TO SECTION 614 OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO PROVIDE FOR REDUCED SQUARE FOOTAGE OF 14,520 SQUARE FEET ON SEWERED RESIDENTIAL ESTATE LOTS WITHIN THE SHACKLEFORD RIDGE OVERLAY ZONE". Mr. Noblett explained the ordinance. Councilmember Paturalski moved that the ordinance be passed on first reading. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Linehart and passed with Mayor Leonard, Councilmember White, Paturalski and Linehart voting yes, and Vice-Mayor Ruffin voting no.

The third ordinance presented on first reading was "AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE SIGNAL MOUNTAIN ZONING ORDINANCE TO ADD A NEW SECTION 618 TO PROVIDE FOR REDUCED LOT SIZES ON SEWERED LOTS WITHIN THE SHACKLEFORD RIDGE OVERLAY ZONE AND TO PROVIDE LOT SIZE, FRONTAGE, HEIGHT AND AREA, DENSITY AND PARKING REGULATIONS FOR THE SHACKLEFORD RIDGE LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT". Mr. Noblett explained the ordinance. Councilmember White moved that the ordinance be passed on first reading. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Paturalski and passed with Mayor Leonard, Councilmember White, Paturalski and Linehart voting yes and Vice-Mayor Ruffin voting no.

The fourth ordinance presented on first reading was "AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE SIGNAL MOUNTAIN ZONING ORDINANCE TO ADD A NEW SECTION 619 TO PROVIDE FOR REDUCED LOT SIZES ON SEWERED LOTS WITHIN THE SHACKLEFORD RIDGE OVERLAY ZONE AND TO PROVIDE LOT SIZE, FRONTAGE, HEIGHT AND AREA, DENSITY AND PARKING REGULATIONS FOR THE SHACKLEFORD RIDGE OPEN SPACE DESIGN OPTION". Mr. Noblett

explained the ordinance. Councilmember White moved that the ordinance be passed on first reading. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Paturalski and passed with Councilmembers White, Paturalski, Linehart and Mayor Leonard voting yes and Vice-Mayor Ruffin voting no.

The fifth ordinance presented on first reading was "AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE SIGNAL MOUNTAIN ZONING ORDINANCE TO ADD A NEW SECTION 620 TO PROVIDE FOR THE SHACKLEFORD RIDGE RESIDENTIAL PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT OPTION". Mr. Noblett explained the ordinance. Councilmember Linehart moved that the ordinance be passed on first reading. Councilmember White seconded the motion and it passed with Councilmembers White, Paturalski, Linehart and Mayor Leonard voting yes and Vice-Mayor Ruffin voting no.

The sixth ordinance presented on first reading was "AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE SIGNAL MOUNTAIN ZONING ORDINANCE TO ADD A NEW SECTION 621 TO PROVIDE FOR THE SHACKLEFORD RIDGE ALTERNATIVE LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL DESIGN OPTION". Mr. Noblett explained the ordinance. Councilmember Linehart made a motion to amend this ordinance prior to voting on it, specifically Section 621.02.02 to change the minimum lot size from 9,600 square feet to 10,900 square feet that was consistent with the Open Space. Mayor Leonard seconded the motion. Councilmember Linehart said he did not know how the 9,600 was arrived at and 10,900 was more consistent. The motion passed with Councilmembers White, Paturalski, Linehart and Mayor Leonard voting yes, and Vice-Mayor Ruffin abstaining.

Councilmember Linehart moved that another change the ordinance Section 621.02.02 also be amended. He said currently there was an over-all density to be no greater than 2.5 units per acre. He said he would like to change that to 2.25 units per acre. He said his rationale was according to math the most that could be developed under the Open Space was 2.6 units per acre. He said the Open Space was much more desirable because of the sidewalks and different restrictions and requirements in Open Space. He said his rationale was if the Town had open space at 2.6 knowing that was going to be more expensive to develop and the Town had alternative at 2.5 with very few restrictions in it, it seemed like it made more sense for someone developing to develop under the alternative plan. He said he was not a big fan of the alternative plan because it was difficult to visualize it. He said he would prefer to have an incentive development through the Open Space. He said he had heard comments that there would not be any development under Open Space because the Town had made it too restrictive. He said from his standpoint he was interested in making the Town like it had been historically. He moved that the maximum density be reduced in 621.02.02 to 2.25 units maximum per gross acre. Mayor Leonard seconded the motion. Councilmember White said he agreed about the Open Space, but he was worried that this was making it undoable. He said there would have to be a site plan and go before the Planning Commission. Councilmember Linehart said the 2.25 was more consistent with the rest of the Town than 2.5 or 3 and the other thing was there was a demand factor, which was the high school. The motion passed with Mayor Leonard and Councilmembers Linehart and Paturalski voting yes, Councilmember White voted no, and Vice-Mayor Ruffin abstained.

Councilmember Linehart moved that ordinance 621 be passed with the amendments. Councilmember White seconded the motion. The ordinance passed with Mayor Leonard, Councilmembers White, Paturalski and Linehart voting yes, Vice-Mayor Ruffin voting no.

Joe Dumas commended Vice-Mayor Ruffin for his no vote and trying to give the citizens a little more time for discussion and debate of the issue. He encouraged the other Councilmembers to listen to their constituents between now and the August meeting. He asked Diana Campbell for an update on the sidewalk grant of the James Blvd. sidewalk extension. Ms. Campbell said the Town had received a letter from TDOT where they had awarded the Town Federal funds to proceed and the Town was talking to engineering firms to get proposals. She said in August the Town would probably have a resolution asking for permission to award a bid for the sidewalk.

Jim Bardoner thanked the Council and others for putting time in on this. He appreciated their offers in trying to satisfy previous restrictions and putting the current ones in rather than having two sets of restrictions. His question was, regarding the website, that they were able to look at the land use plan and were the revisions currently on the website or would they be posted for review. He also asked on the Overlay when you try to look at the map it wasn't easily pulled up from the Town's website and he had to go to the Hamilton County GIS site. He wanted to know if there was any way that could be posted in public form so people could access that material and maps. Ms. Campbell said that map came from the Hamilton County GIS system. She said it was a very large document and she would try to get version on the website.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Mayor

Sherry Morrison, Acting Town Recorder

Date: July 10, 2006

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Lane Ford	1212 James Blvd.
2. Doug Damm	946 Whipoorwill Dr.
3. George Damm	700 Kentucky Av.
4. Robyn Gaylor	1022 Whipoorwill Dr.
5. John Selman	7 Windy Way
6. Bruce Caldwell	909 Fairmount Ave
7. Frank Harrison	705 Danbury Drive
8. James Bardner	21 Middle Creek Rd.
9. James W Morgan	1016 FL Ave
10. Ginger Kachline	7 Prentice Lane
11. Pete Van Valkinburg	6 Prentice Lane
12. Jeff Wang	101 Golf Dr
13. Stephen + Sara Kuhn	109 Louisiana
14. Tom + Mary Tidwell	45 Hidden Brook Ln.
15. ELLIS UMBARGER	937 RAUINE RD.
16. LINDA S. McLean	310 OHIO AVE
17. Linda McGam	601 James Blvd
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	

Date: JULY 10, 2006

NAME

ADDRESS

1. Lou Odiphant Cherokee Lane
2. Jean Nolan Ladder Trail
3. Cleo Long Inverness Dr.
4. Don & Kathy 1056 Cordwain Ct.
5. Joann Kurf " "
6. Ruth Rogers Oliver Cr.
7. Ken Mehlke S. Palisades
8. Mark + Paul Thacker James Blvd
9. Lucinda Wyatt & ~~Brendan~~ ⁸² ~~Alan~~ Cherokee Lane
10. Chris Sexton 3501 Amicola Hwy, Chattanooga 37406
11. Margaret Spittler 505 Rolling Loay
12. Bob & Helen King 802 Sigma Hdm. Blvd, # 122
13. Don & Carol 937 Duneside Pl
14. ANNE LEONARD 16 WHISPERING PINES
15. Claire Lutz 40 Carriage Hill
16. Bob Guin " "
17. Mary Rowland 902 Crown Pt Rd W
18. Charlie Rowland " " "
19. Nancy Cooper
19. V. K. Cooper, V.F.
20. Jack + Linda Huguelot
21. Jeff + Robb

Date: July 10, 2006

NAME	ADDRESS
1. Mary Seay	119 River Pt. Rd.
2. Ann Evans	1179 James Blvd
3. Joe Dumas	1111 James Blvd.
4. Robert Martin	3 SHOAL CREEK FALLS
5. Rob Philyan	4 Glenara Court
6. Mary Hutchinson	1150 James Blvd.
7. Phyllis Stuh	104 River Point
8. Betty Stuh	" " ?
9. Marie Coolidge	39 Mtn Cove Rd
10. Charlean Smith	105 Druid Dr.
11. James Smith	127 Golf Dr
12. Chris Yany	7 Lanninge Hill
13. Gary Goodgame	1112 Glamis Circle
14. Glenn Brisco	210 James Blvd
15. Paul Annalich	505 N Palerades dr
16. Joe W Robbins	3609 E Brow Rd,
17. Karen Stone	2611 E Brow Rd.
18. Peggy Powers	405 Mississippi Ave
19. Courtney Seay	382 Wildwood
20. Julia Rydliff	408 Brady Pt.
21. Jean Anderson	202 Louisiana Ave

Date: JULY 10, 2006

	NAME	ADDRESS
1.	Phil Freeman	14 Northfield Rd
2.	Jim McCall	103 E. Palisades Dr.
3.	Nancy Freeman	14 Northfield Rd.
4.	Don & Kim Clausen	505 Barrington Rd.
5.	Joe Palmer	1601 Mamis Rd
6.	Old Jones	1179 JAMES BLVD
7.	Jay Bell	1838 Cotter Rd
8.	LORI COVANN	113 RIVER POINT RD
9.	RICK COVANN	113 RIVER POINT RD
10.	Dean Holland	213 Timberlinks Dr.
11.	Jerry Robertson	115 River Pt Rd
12.	Judy Chapman	161 Woodcliff Cir.
13.	Larry Chapman	161 Woodcliff Cir
14.	Jason Huffine	618 Timberlinks Dr.
15.	Becky Burton	1544 Mtn. View Circle
16.	Marcia Jensen	411 Fern Trail
17.	Walt Jensen	411 Fern Trail
18.	Ann Mar	385 Valley Wood Ln
19.	Sarah Morrow	214 Dawn St.
20.	Dorothy Peletto	115 River Point Rd.
21.	Sis Morgan	106 Florida Ave.

RESOLUTION NO. R2006-24

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TOWN OF SIGNAL MOUNTAIN, TENNESSEE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE TML RISK MANAGEMENT POOL "SAFETY PARTNERS" LOSS CONTROL MATCHING GRANT PROGRAM WHICH SHALL BE AWARDED IN OCTOBER OF 2006.

WHEREAS, the safety and well being of the employees of the Town of Signal Mountain, Tennessee is of the greatest importance; and

WHEREAS, all efforts shall be made to provide a safe and hazard-free workplace for the Town of Signal Mountain employees; and

WHEREAS, the TML Risk Management Pool seeks to encourage the establishment of a safe workplace by offering a "Safety Partners" Loss Control Matching Grant Program; and

WHEREAS, the Town of Signal Mountain, Tennessee again seeks to participate in this important program for fiscal year 2006-2007.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF SIGNAL MOUNTAIN, TENNESSEE as follows:

SECTION 1. That the Town of Signal Mountain, Tennessee is hereby authorized to submit application for a "Safety Partners" Loss Control Matching Grant through the TML Risk Management Pool for a grant which shall be awarded in October of 2006.

SECTION 2. That the Town Manager is further authorized to provide a matching sum to serve as a match for any monies provided by this grant from TML Risk Management Pool to assist in providing a safe and hazard free workplace for Fiscal Year 2006-2007.

William O. Penick
MAYOR

[Signature] ACTIVE/ROOSE
RECORDER

7/10/06
DATE

7-10-06
DATE

PAN/kac

RESOLUTION NO. R2006-25

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING TOWN BUILDING INSPECTOR CHARLES GEARHISER, JR. AS THE TOWN OF SIGNAL MOUNTAIN'S REPRESENTATIVE ON THE HAMILTON COUNTY WATER AND WASTEWATER TREATMENT AUTHORITY BOARD.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Town Council of the Town of Signal Mountain, Tennessee that Town Building Inspector, Charles Gearhiser, Jr., is hereby appointed as the Town of Signal Mountain's representative on the Hamilton County Water and Wastewater Treatment Authority Board.

William O. Leavette
MAYOR

Acsmg Recorder
RECORDER

7/10/06
DATE

07-10-06
DATE

PAN/kac

RESOLUTION NO. R2006-26

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TOWN MANAGER AND/OR MAYOR TO ENTER INTO AND RENEW A CONTRACT FOR MEDICAL INSURANCE FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE TOWN OF SIGNAL MOUNTAIN WITH BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD OF TENNESSEE FOR 2006-2007 WHICH IS ATTACHED HERETO WITH PREVIOUS COVERAGE REMAINING THE SAME AND WITH AN INCREASE IN PREMIUMS TO \$289.02 PER MONTH FOR SINGLE COVERAGE AND \$747.70 PER MONTH FOR FAMILY COVERAGE.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Town Council of the Town of Signal Mountain, Tennessee that the Town Manager and/or Mayor is authorized to enter into and renew a contract for medical insurance for employees of the Town of Signal Mountain with Blue Cross Blue Shield of Tennessee for 2006-2007 which is attached hereto with previous coverage remaining the same with an increase in premiums to \$289.02 per month for single coverage and \$747.70 per month for family coverage. A copy of the Employer Renewal Response Form signed by the Town Manager is attached.

William O. Leonard
MAYOR

[Signature]
RECORDER

7/10/06
DATE

07-10-06
DATE

PAN/kac



An Independent Licensee of the BlueCross BlueShield Association

Employer Renewal Response Form (Attachment A to the Group Agreement)

Please Fax to (423) 763-7309

This form will amend any previously issued contracts or amendments.

- This document has been classified confidential -

Group Number: **88404-1** Group Name: **Town of Signal Mountain**

Effective Date: **7**

Number of Full-time Employees* (minimum 30 hours per week): **70**

(*For Medicare purposes, notify BlueCross BlueShield of Tennessee if full-time and part-time employee count falls below 20.)

Section A - Select ONE of the two renewal benefit choices below for Medical and/or Dental:

Medical

1. Renew with the Current/Renewal Medical Benefits for the following options: Option 1 Option 2 Option 3
No changes EXCEPT insurer policy and benefit changes that are not optional and are listed on the Summary of Changes Sheet.

- OR -

2. Select Alternate Medical Benefit Coverages and submit copy of alternate Quote Sheet with this form.

(Mark only the coverages that are changing; coverage will not be included for those items marked "No.")

- | Coverages | <input type="checkbox"/> Option 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> Option 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Option 3 |
|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Prescription Drugs | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Behavioral Health | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Special Accident | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Extended Wellcare | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| Vision | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| COBRA Administration | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| COBRA with Initial Notification | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |

NOTE: COBRA Administration is available to groups with 20 or more employees (size as defined in COBRA legislation).

Section B

Contact an Account Manager to make other benefit plan changes, such as eligibility provisions.

Section C - To change any benefits, you must sign and fax this form to the number shown above.

If the form is not signed, benefits will not change, except for those otherwise communicated by BlueCross BlueShield of Tennessee. Group and new premiums will be confirmed by BlueCross BlueShield of Tennessee within three business days. A minimum of one employee is required to enroll in a benefit option during the renewal open enrollment for that particular benefit option to remain in effect for the group. It is a knowingly provide false, incomplete or misleading information to an insurance company for the purposes of defrauding the company. include imprisonment, fines and denial of coverage. An electronic signature will have the same force and effect as a manual signature. signing below, I certify that I am authorized by the Group to execute this Renewal Response Form. I understand that my broker will commission and/or other fee by BlueCross BlueShield of Tennessee for placing/encouraging the Group's coverage. For more information contact my broker.

Signature: *[Signature]* Date: 6-30-06

Title: TOWN MANAGER

I, the Broker, certify that I have fully explained the contents of this form and its meaning to the Employer
Broker signature (if applicable): *[Signature]* Date: 6/29/06

Section D - For BlueCross BlueShield of Tennessee Internal Use Only

BlueCross BlueShield of Tennessee has not received the signed Employer Renewal Response Form.

Your coverage is being renewed at current/renewal benefits.

BlueCross BlueShield of Tennessee has received the signed Employer Renewal Response Form.

Elected options and rates on the attached quote sheet(s) correspond with the following quote number(s).

PPO Quote #: _____

Initial payment of these renewal rates constitutes acknowledgement and acceptance of these rates and benefits and makes it of the company's agreement with BlueCross BlueShield of Tennessee, Inc.

Account Manager: Alex Everley Date: _____

Signature: _____

An electronic signature will have the same force and effect as a manual signature

By: _____ Title: President, Commercial Business & Established Markets Date: _____

Joan C. Harp

A scanned, imaged or photocopied version of this completely executed form will have the same force and effect of the original document.

BlueCross BlueShield of Tennessee, Inc., an Independent Licensee of the BlueCross BlueShield Association

® Registered marks of the BlueCross BlueShield Association, an Association of Independent BlueCross BlueShield Plans



Account Management Sheet

An Independent Licensee of the BlueCross BlueShield Association

Renewal Rates Effective 7/1/2006

Note: The following rates are based on a Renewal Effective date of 7/1/2006

Group #: 88404- 1 Name: Town of Signal Mountain Blue Network P

Total Size: 67

Region(1): Chattanooga

Broker: Davis, John M(QVJ4XA003CSD)

SIC(9111): Executive Offices

Region Counts:

NE	KN	CH	NA	JA	ME	OS
-	-	67	-	-	-	-

Important Reminders

- The maximum difference between the highest and lowest benefit and/or network option must be no more than 35 percent.
- Commission Disclosure: The rates presented in this proposal include commissions. If you have questions please contact your broker.

Health	Counts	Current Rates	Renewal Rates
Individual	26	\$240.08	\$289.02
Two-Person	--	--	--
Ee/Child(ren)	--	--	--
Family	41	\$621.09	\$747.70

Quote#: 199 Blue Network P - Renewal Benefits and Rates

Deductible:	\$500 Deductible	Prescription Drug:	\$10/\$35/\$50
Coinsurance:	80% Coinsurance	Mental Health:	20/25
Out of Pocket:	\$2000 Out Of Pocket	Special Accident:	None
Office Visit:	\$30 Copay	Extended Wellcare:	No
Op Surgery:	Ded/Coin	Vision:	None
Emergency Care:	\$100 Copay	COBRA Admin:	None

	Individual	Ee/Spouse	EE/Child(ren)	Family
Base Plan	\$236.21	--	--	\$611.08
Prescription	\$49.06	--	--	\$126.92
Drugs	\$3.75	--	--	\$9.70
Mental Health	--	--	--	--
Special Accident	--	--	--	--
Extended Wellcare	--	--	--	--
Vision	--	--	--	--
COBRA Admin	--	--	--	--
Total Rate	\$289.02	--	--	\$747.70

Total Monthly Premium: \$38,170.22
Total Increase: 20.39%

Renewal Factor Changes	Factor Change Definitions
Base Rate: 13.16%	Base Rate: Changes in regional rates for specific benefits, size, and admin Including increase due to medical cost and utilization
Risk Adjustment: 10.25%	Risk Adjustment: Group specific change in the health/claims risk
SIC: 0.00%	SIC: Change in cost/utilization for specific industry
Demo: -3.5%	Demo: Change in adjustments for group's enrollment mix
Reform: 0.00%	Reform: Change in state regulation adjustments
Cap: 0.00%	Cap: Change in adjustments for pool increase limit

FOR INTERNAL USE ONLY: A 1.04955B 1.00000C 1.01450D 0.87410E 1.16668F 0.86650G 210430

X

RESOLUTION NO. R2006-27

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TOWN MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A RENEWAL CONTRACT WITH GE INSURANCE COMPANY FOR DENTAL INSURANCE COVERAGE FOR TOWN OF SIGNAL MOUNTAIN EMPLOYEES AT THE RATE OF \$16.87 PER MONTH FOR INDIVIDUAL EMPLOYEES AND \$52.50 PER MONTH FOR EMPLOYEES AND THEIR FAMILIES EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2006 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2007.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF SIGNAL MOUNTAIN, TENNESSEE, That the Town Manager be and is hereby authorized to enter into a renewal contract for dental insurance coverage for the Town of Signal Mountain employees for the term of July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007 to GE Insurance Company based upon its annual contract in the approximate amount of \$2578.03 per month for said dental insurance coverage. The cost of this dental insurance coverage shall be at the rate of \$16.87 for individual employees and \$52.50 per month for employees and families based on the current level of employees employed by the Town.

William O. Leventis
MAYOR

7/10/06
DATE

B R Henry Koster
RECORDER

07-10-06
DATE

PAN/kac

RESOLUTION NO. R2006-28

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TOWN MANAGER TO PURCHASE A SECOND 2006 CHEVROLET IMPALA, POLICE CAR, TENNESSEE STATE BID NUMBER 4033158, FROM WILSON COUNTY MOTOR COMPANY, IN THE AMOUNT OF TWENTY THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED FORTY-ONE AND 00/100 (\$20,441.00) DOLLARS TO BE USED BY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR THE TOWN OF SIGNAL MOUNTAIN.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF SIGNAL MOUNTAIN, TENNESSEE, That the Town Manager be and is hereby authorized to purchase a second 2006 Chevrolet Impala, Police Car, Tennessee State Bid Number 4033158, from Wilson County Motor Company, in the amount of \$20,441.00 to be used by the Police Department for the Town of Signal Mountain. This second purchase has been recommended by the Town Manager and Police Chief. A copy of the bid documents for the purchase of this police vehicle is attached as Exhibit 1.

William O. Pennington [Signature]
MAYOR RECORDER

7/10/06 07-10-06
DATE DATE

PAN/kac

2006 Chevrolet Impala Police Package

SWC 218

Wilson County Motor Company
1310 West Main Street
Lebanon, TN 37087
Contact: Frank Hartley, Fleet Manager
(615) 444-0002

This is an excerpt from the State of Tennessee's Contract on the specific unit you requested information on.

SWC No.	Contract No.	Line No.	Commodity Code Description	Unit	Unit Price	Discount Off Catalog Price
218 2055351	4033158	00003	070-05-003714 Police Pursuit, Sedan, Front Wheel Drive 6 Cylinder Engine, Wheelbase 110.5" 4 Door Ref. Specification 2 Brand: CHEVROLET Model: IMPALA	EA	\$20,055.00000	N/A

Vendor: Wilson County Motor Co

Recycle Content: N/A

Contract extended to Local Governments & State Agencies

218 2055351	4033158	00004	070-05-053028 Option Swc 218 Locks Rear Door Inoperable From Rear Seat. For Item 070-05-003714	EA	\$21.00000	N/A
----------------	---------	-------	--	----	------------	-----

Vendor: Wilson County Motor Co

Recycle Content: N/A

Contract extended to Local Governments & State Agencies

218 2055351	4033158	00005	070-05-053029 Option Swc 218 Rear Door Windows And Rear Door Handles Inoperable From Rear Rods To Be Removed, Wrapped And Put In	EA	\$51.00000	N/A
----------------	---------	-------	--	----	------------	-----

Trunk For Item 070-05-
003714

Vendor: Wilson County
Motor Co

Recycle Content: N/A

Contract extended to Local Governments & State Agencies

218	4033158	00006	070-05-053030	EA	\$0.00000	N/A
2055351			Option Swc 218 Upholstery - Front Cloth Bucket Seats, Rear Seat "vinyl" For Item 070-05- 003714			

Vendor: Wilson County
Motor Co

Recycle Content: N/A

Contract extended to Local Governments & State Agencies

218	4033158	00007	070-05-053031	EA	\$236.00000	N/A
2055351			Option Swc 218 Spotlight Factory Installed, Left Cowl Mounted To Be Operated From Inside. Clear Halogen Beam Unity Or Equal. For Item 070-05-003714			

Vendor: Wilson County
Motor Co

Recycle Content: N/A

Contract extended to Local Governments & State Agencies

Floor Covering - Heavy Duty Rubber Black, Replaces Production Carpeting. \$78.00
Carpeted Mats Not Available with this option.

**SPECIFICATIONS FOR POLICE PURSUIT SEDAN
110.5" WHEELBASE, FRONT WHEEL DRIVE
MODEL YEAR 2006**

**POLICE PURSUIT SEDANS OFFERED UNDER THIS SPECIFICATION SHALL BE
EQUIPPED WITH ALL EQUIPMENT ADVERTISED AS STANDARD EQUIPMENT
FOR POLICE PURSUIT VEHICLES AND SHALL MEET OR EXCEED THE
FOLLOWING DETAILED REQUIREMENTS. ALL VALUES SPECIFIED BELOW
SHALL BE CONSIDERED AS MINIMUMS, UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.**

SPECIFICICATIONS:

1. AIR BAG - DRIVER AND PASSENGER SIDES - FRONT SEAT: VEHICLE TO BE EQUIPPED WITH DRIVER AND PASSENGER SIDE AIR BAG, PASSIVE RESTRAINT SYSTEM.
2. AIR CONDITIONING: FACTORY INSTALLED SYSTEM MUST BE DESIGNED TO PREVENT COMPONENT DAMAGE DUE TO HIGH SPEED DRIVING.
3. ALTERNATOR SYSTEM: TRANSISTORIZED REGULATOR, 150 AMP MINIMUM OUTPUT CAPACITY, MINIMUM CURB IDLE OUTPUT OF 124 AMPS (AT MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDED IDLE SPEED) AND 150 AMPS AT 1200 RPM IDLE BOOST. SHALL BE OF A HEAVY DUTY DESIGN, CAPABLE OF SURVIVING PATROL CAR OPERATION. OUTPUT RATINGS ARE FOR TYPICAL UNDER HOOD AMBIENT TEMPERATURES AND NOT S.A.E. RATING METHOD.
4. ANTIFREEZE: TO BE EQUIPPED WITH ANTIFREEZE PROTECTION TO -30 DEGREES F. MINIMUM.
5. BATTERY: 12 VOLT; MINIMUM 750 COLD CRANKING AMPS.
6. BODY SIDE MOLDING: VEHICLE TO HAVE BODY SIDE MOLDINGS.
7. BRAKES: POWER ASSISTED, LOW PEDAL POSITION. FOUR (4) WHEEL DISC BRAKES. ANTI-LOCK SYSTEM IS REQUIRED.
8. COOLING SYSTEM: VEHICLE TO HAVE MAXIMUM SIZE COOLING SYSTEM AVAILABLE; INCORPORATING "COOLANT RECOVERY" SYSTEM FACTORY INSTALLED.
9. ENGINE: LARGEST AVAILABLE SIX (6) CYLINDER, MANUFACTURED AND RECOMMENDED FOR POLICE PACKAGE.
10. GAS TANK: MINIMUM 17.0 GALLONS
11. GAUGES: TO BE EQUIPPED WITH MANUFACTURER'S POLICE PURSUIT GAUGE INDICATOR PACKAGE LOCATED IN THE INSTRUMENT PANEL.
12. GLASS: ALL WINDOWS SHALL BE HEAT ABSORBING (TINTED) TYPE.
13. HEADLIGHTS: TO BE EQUIPPED WITH HIGH AND LOW BEAM COMPOSITE HALOGEN HEADLIGHTS.
14. HOOD LATCH RELEASE: TO BE EQUIPPED WITH INSIDE HOOD LATCH RELEASE.
15. KEYS: FOUR (4) SETS OF KEYS TO BE FURNISHED WITH EACH CAR.

16. LICENSE PLATE PROVISION: VEHICLE SHALL BE EQUIPPED WITH MANUFACTURER SUPPLIED FRONT AND REAR LICENSE PLATE PROVISIONS. (DEALER MAY INSTALL)

17. LIGHTS: A. NIGHT SAVER LIGHT IN ADDITION TO DOME LIGHT WITH SEPARATE CONTROL; TO BE LOCATED BETWEEN VISORS. (MAYBE DEALER INSTALLED)

B. ENGINE AND TRUNK COMPARTMENT: LIGHTS TO BE CONTROLLED BY MERCURY OR EQUAL TYPE SWITCHES.

18. LOCKS: ALL LOCKS ON A CAR TO BE KEYED ALIKE. EACH UNIT SHALL HAVE DIFFERENT KEY CODES.

19. INSIDE MIRRORS: REAR VIEW: DAY/NIGHT

20. OUTSIDE MIRRORS: REAR VIEW REMOTE CONTROL TYPE, INSTALLED ON LEFT-HAND AND RIGHT-HAND DOORS. CONTROLS TO BE WITHIN CONVENIENT REACH OF THE DRIVER

21. POLICE RADIO NOISE AND ELECTRICAL SUPPRESSION PACKAGE: ALL COMPUTER RADIO RECEIVING AND OTHER ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS SHALL NOT EXCEED THE RADIO FREQUENCY EMISSION LEVEL ALLOWABLE FOR SUCH DEVICES BY PART 15 OF THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION RULES. SUCH DEVICES SHALL IN NO CASE CAUSE MORE THAN 3DB REDUCTION IN EFFECTIVE RECEIVER SENSITIVITY AS MEASURED AT THE POLICE MOBILE RADIO ANTENNA JACK WHEN SUCH RADIO IS INSTALLED IN THE VEHICLE. NOISE SHALL NOT BE INTRODUCED INTO ELECTRICAL WIRING SYSTEM WHICH WILL CAUSE MORE THAN 3DB REDUCTION IN EFFECTIVE RECEIVER SENSITIVITY AS MEASURED ABOVE. IN NO CASE SHALL THE TOTAL EFFECTIVE SENSITIVITY REDUCTION CAUSED BY ALL SOURCES BE GREATER THAN 3DB. NEITHER NOISE OR VOLTAGE POTENTIAL DIFFERENCE SHALL BE GENERATED BY MOVEMENT OR LACK OF CONDUCTIVITY BETWEEN BODY AND CHASSIS COMPONENT PARTS.

THE ABOVE REQUIREMENT MAY BE MET BY THE USE OF CONDUCTIVE BONDING DEVICES OR THROUGH-INHERENT DESIGN.

22. POWER DOOR LOCKS: POWER DOOR LOCKS TO BE CONTROLLED FROM DRIVER SIDE.

23. POWER WINDOWS: POWER WINDOWS CONTROLLED FROM DRIVER SIDE.

24. RADIO: AM/FM ELECTRONIC STEREO WITH DIGITAL CLOCK, WITH STANDARD NOISE AND ELECTRICAL SUPPRESSION PACKAGE

25. REAR WINDOW DEFOGGER: ELECTRICAL GRID TYPE. CONTROL TO BE WITHIN CONVENIENT REACH OF DRIVER.

26. SPEEDOMETER: SHALL BE CALIBRATED TO WITHIN +/-3 M.P.H. ACCURACY. SCALE GRADUATIONS TO BE LINEAR AND OF 5 M.P.H. INCREMENTS. 0 - 140 M.P.H. SCALE MINIMUM. CALIBRATED DIGITAL IS ACCEPTABLE.

27. FRONT SEAT ASSEMBLY: BUCKET SEAT TYPE, INDIVIDUALLY ADJUSTABLE. BOTH SEATS TO HAVE HEAVY DUTY INTERIOR CONSTRUCTION DESIGNED FOR RUGGED POLICE USE. FOAM PADDED SEAT CUSHIONS AND BACKS. TO PERMIT THE INSTALLATION OF RADIO EQUIPMENT, FLOOR MOUNTED SHIFT LEVER WITH CONSOLE IS NOT PERMITTED.

28. UPHOLSTERY: FRONT SEAT - HEAVY DUTY CLOTH
REAR SEAT - HEAVY DUTY CLOTH FLOORBOARD - CARPET ON ALL FLOORBOARDS, COLOR COORDINATED AND MATCHING FLOOR MATS FRONT AND REAR.

29. SUSPENSION: TO BE EQUIPPED WITH HEAVY DUTY SHOCK ABSORBERS FRONT AND REAR, SPRINGS FRONT AND REAR. SHOCKS ARE TO BE BEST QUALITY OFFERED FOR HIGH SPEED PURSUIT DRIVING AND ROAD ABILITY. STABILIZER BARS ARE REQUIRED ON FRONT AND REAR (POLICE PACKAGE TYPE).

30. STEERING: POWER STEERING, DESIGNED FOR HIGH SPEED PURSUIT TYPE DRIVING.

31. STEERING WHEEL: TILT STEERING WHEEL WITH ANTI-SLIP SURFACE.

32. TIRES: TIRES TO BE PIRELLI RADIALS OR EQUAL. SPEED RATINGS TO MEET OR EXCEED VEHICLE PERFORMANCE CAPABILITIES. SPARE TO BE MANUFACTURER STANDARD.

33. TOOLS: WHEEL WRENCH AND HEAVY DUTY JACK.

34. TRANSMISSION: FOUR (4) SPEED AUTOMATIC OVERDRIVE WHICH WILL PREVENT THE ENGINE FROM OVER REVING.

35. TRUNK RELEASE, REMOTE: TO BE EQUIPPED WITH ELECTRONICALLY OPERATED REMOTE CONTROL TRUNK RELEASE. CONTROL TO BE WITHIN CONVENIENT REACH OF THE DRIVER, (IN GLOVE BOX IS NOT ACCEPTABLE) TRUNK REMOTE TO OPERATE ONLY WHEN THE IGNITION KEY IS IN "ON" POSITION.

36. WARRANTY: MANUFACTURER'S STANDARD WARRANTY FOR THIRTY-SIX (36) MONTHS OR 36,000 MILES, WHICHEVER COMES FIRST. WARRANTY MUST COINCIDE WITH IN SERVICE DATE, NOT DELIVERY DATE. IN SERVICE DATE NOT TO EXCEED NINE (9) MONTHS FROM DELIVERY DATE.

37. WHEELBASE: 110.5"

38. WHEELS: HEAVY DUTY CONSTRUCTION DESIGNED FOR POLICE USE.

39. WHEEL COVERS: SHALL HAVE FULL COVER OR CENTER CAPS (MAY BE DEALER INSTALLED)

40. WINDSHIELD WASHERS: ELECTRIC, MANUFACTURER'S PERFORMANCE STANDARDS.

41. WINDSHIELD WIPERS: MULTIPLE SPEED ELECTRIC, WITH INTERVAL.

42. CRUISE CONTROL: REQUIRED

43. WIRING ACCESSORY: MANUFACTURER'S STANDARD WIRING FEATURES THAT COMPLY TO THE ELECTRICAL REQUIREMENTS OF THESE SPECIFICATIONS WILL BE ACCEPTED.

44. LIGHTING PACKAGE:

- CORNER STROBE, FRONT AND REAR CLEAR CORNER STROBES INSTALLED IN FRONT HEAD LAMPS AND REAR TAIL LAMPS OF VEHICLE TO INCLUDE POWER SUPPLY AND ALL STROBE CABLES ROUTED TO THE CARGO AREA AND LEFT BLUNT CUT. STROBE POWER SUPPLY TO BE SUPPLIED BUT NOT INSTALLED IN VEHICLE. THE STATE OF TENNESSEE WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION.
- TWO LOW PROFILE REAR LED DECK LAMPS, MOUNTED BEHIND THE REAR SEAT BACK, THESE LOW PROFILE LED LAMPS PROVIDE A HIGH INTENSITY LIGHT TO THE REAR WHETHER THE TRUNK LID IS UP OR DOWN. FLASHER IS INTEGRAL WITH THE LAMP ASSEMBLY. REQUIRE WIRE CONNECTION TO OPERATE. LOW PROFILE LAMP IS BLUE/BLEU.
- TWO LED GRILL LAMPS, FRONT FACING LED GRILL LIGHTS FEDERAL SIGNAL CUDA TRI-OP PART NUMBER 351023-03 OR EQUAL. COLOR OF LED GRILL LIGHTS TO BE BLUE. LOCATED BEHIND GRILL. REQUIRES WIRE CONNECTION TO OPERATE.

NOTE: ALL ITEMS SHALL BE FACTORY INSTALLED UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

ONLY ACCEPTABLE BRAND/MODEL: CHEVROLET IMPALA